

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

ONE CHILD LAW

[one-child-law¹]

One child law is based upon the work of: (a) Deng Xiaoping: China's One Child law²; (b) Jason Brent: An Endangered Species: A Proposal: How to Determine Who can and who cannot reproduce³; (c) Jack Alpert: Nonlinearity of Overpopulation⁴; Rapid Population Decline or Bust⁵; (d) Carter Dillard: Rethinking the Procreative Right⁶; (e) Sarah Conley: One Child: Do we have a right to more?⁷; (e) Paul Murtaugh: Every child increases a parents carbon footprint by a factor of 20⁸. One child law shall remain in effect until global population has declined to below ecological carrying capacity limits, whereupon it may be amended to a two child law.

One Child Law:

[1] Every individual – man or woman, or whatever race, class, religion, culture – is limited to procreation of one child descendant.

[2] Procreation Crime of Aggression Sentences:

[2.1] An individual found guilty of negligent procreation crimes of aggression; would be required to be permanently sterilized.

[2.2] An individual found guilty of intentional procreation crime of aggression; shall be provided the opportunity to (a) choose their preferred method of state assisted suicide by a date as stipulated by the sentencing judge; (b) if the individual had not honourably removed themselves from the planetary genepool by their preferred method of state assisted suicide; by the required date, they would be humanely assassinated.

¹ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2010/07/humans-an-endangered-species-v/> archive.fo/qnrNz

² <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/1980/09/25-sep-deng-xiaoping/> archive.is/MQrUk

³ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2010/07/humans-an-endangered-species-v/> archive.fo/qnrNz

⁴ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2012/09/28-sep-jalpert-nlpop/> archive.is/rnLxK

⁵ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2018/01/02-jan-jalpert-rpd/> archive.fo/ddIf1

⁶ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2007/10/07-cdillard/> archive.fo/tamuM

⁷ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2016/02/15-feb-sconley/> archive.fo/e0jc3

⁸ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2009/07/31-jul-murtaugh-procreation-factor/> archive.is/99OBA

- [2.3] If the child is the mother or fathers first child, and the single parent individual is not convicted of an intentional crime of aggression; the child remains with such parent.
- [2.4] If the child is the mother and father's second child, both of whom are convicted of intentional procreation crimes of aggression, the child is humanely executed.

Excerpts: Jason Brent: A Proposal: How to Determine Who can and who cannot reproduce:

[3] No one has the right to use his penis or her womb to destroy all of humanity. Every individual has the right to one and only one child. One child law is value neutral and does not favor or harm any individual or group of individuals, and will be applied to every person or group without favoring anyone. The action is very simple—limit the right of any male to father only one live child and limit the right of every woman to one live birth. In simple terms a couple is limited to one and only one child—not one child for the male and one child for the female.

[4] One Child law would be applied to every single human being without regard to race, religion, national origin or anything else and it would be absolute, no exceptions. It would be applied without regard for wealth, or the lack of wealth, and it would be applied without regard for the country of birth or residence of either the male or female. It would be applied without regard to intelligence, or the lack thereof, and without regard of the ability of the male or female to function in society. The right to either father a child or for a female to give birth could not be sold or transferred; it would be personal to the individual. If a live child were born with a birth defect or with some other disability it would not permit either the father or mother to produce another child. Each couple would have the right to have all appropriate pre-natal tests to determine if the child in the womb would be born with a birth or genetic defect and if the chance existed that the child would be born with such a defect to have an abortion.

[5] Since survival of our species depends on the one child rule, under my proposal any attempt to evade the rule would result in death of the evader and of any second child. The rule to be fair must be absolute, without a single exception. If the female cannot or refuses to provide the name of the father she and the child shall be immediately executed. All of the ideas set forth in this paragraph may be considered horrible and inhumane. However, since they will be applied equally, no individual or group is harmed except to the extent that an individual cannot either

father or give birth to a second child. The harm caused to the individual and the harm caused to all of humanity by enforcing the one child rule set forth above is miniscule compared to the harm which all of humanity would suffer if population were not reduced.

[6] Since the birth of a child is very hard to hide, there must be communal responsibility and accountability for any attempt to do so. Those who knowingly failed to report the birth of a second or any higher number of children would themselves be subject to the very same severe punishment that would be meted out to the parents of the second or higher numbered child—no religious, cultural or ethnic exemptions would obtain. Humanity cannot consider the evasion of the single child rule a game to be played with a minor penalty, if caught. No group or individual could be permitted any evasion of the one child rule a that would lead to a disparity among groups and among individuals causing irreparable harm to the entire system established to reduce population. Should this sanction seem barbaric or draconian, it is surely less draconian in its effects than the merciless verdict of nature upon a species that refuses to contain its expansion.

[7] In order for this proposal to be fair, equitable and workable, society and governments would be required to take action today to provide the means for every human being to control his or her fertility, to give everyone on the face of the earth the ability to limit birth to a single child. Governments would be required to devote a whatever portion of their Gross Domestic Product is necessary to the provision of artificial birth control devices of any and all types including sterilization, at low or no cost as appropriate, to their citizens, no matter the age of the citizens once a citizen reaches the age he/she can physically reproduce. This would also include instruction as how to use the devices. This would also include education of both males and females that the birth of a second child would result in the execution of the father and mother as well as the child. Governments would be required to provide safe, as much as any medical procedure can be safe, and low cost or free access to abortion. If any person, either male or female, had more than two failures of birth control devices, it would be conclusively presumed that the person was unable to use birth control devices and the person would be physically and permanently sterilized.

[8] If poor nations were unable to devote the necessary funds to accomplish the one child rule in five years, the rich nations of the world would be required to assist the poor nations, after an evaluation that the poor nations were doing the best they could under some reasonable standard. Since survival of our species depends on reducing population below the current 6.7 billion humans now alive, the necessary

funds to establish the system to control population must be made available. It should be emphasized that a “One-Child-Per-Family” (OCPF) law that is almost completely effective will not suffice. It must be totally and universally effective. After a five year preparation period, the rule must be enforced. The reduction in population would continue under the one child rule until all of humanity agreed upon the method and criteria necessary to implement the two group solution described herein. Population would continue to be reduced pursuant to the method and criteria of the two group solution until it reached 300 million or some other lower number agreed upon by humanity. The number finally agreed upon would be based on the ability of the earth to provide resources for humanity to maintain an acceptable standard of living for a minimum of 25,000 years. And 25,000 years is infinitely small when compared to the 160 million years the dinosaurs ruled the earth.

[9] No doubt any proposal that would recommend capital punishment for transgressors of the One-Child-Per-Family law presently evokes immediate revulsion and rejection. Outside the context of an imminent die-off, given our heritage of moral, religious and cultural programming, I would be surprised if it didn't. Reality has a way of effecting abrupt ethical changes. What is not presently comprehended by almost all of humanity is that we are now in an emergency. Our species is on the brink of an unparalleled catastrophe—our destruction and the destruction of our civilization. It is a matter of complete indifference to me that many, if not all, readers will find the execution of anyone having a second child to be horrible and against every moral precept they learned or understood was applicable to humanity. The problem is not that my prescriptions are immoral or horrible. Rather the problem is that the situation humanity finds itself in is horrible. Each individual will have a very clear choice—birth control or sterilization or abortion or abstinence or execution.