

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

EGO LITERACY

[ego-eco-literacy¹]

[1] Ego Literacy:

[2] Fully Informed Consenting Agreements via Ego Literacy communication values:

- [2.1] I clarify my preferences; to enable people being communicated to, to clearly know what I want; and whether they are willing to engage me in entering into a verbal or written agreement; to help me get what I want; and reciprocally whether I can help them to get what they may want.
- [2.2] I always respond to verbal and written correspondence, with a sincere honest response. If I don't have time to respond; I inform them by when they can expect a response.
- [2.3] I sincerely and actively listen to the evidence from any individual, irrespective of their political ideology – i.e. right wing to left wing – religion, race or culture. Active listening – particularly with individuals from different cultures – means that I verify that my interpretation of their statement is accurate; before concluding that I know what they said.
- [2.4] I focus on simplifying the issue discussed, using as much as possible descriptive words; as opposed to abstract concepts. If or where I include reference to abstract concepts; if so requested, I am willing to define my meaning of that abstract concept within that circumstance. [Info re: Abstract, General, Concrete or Specific Terms²: SQ Copy³]
- [2.5] I evaluate their perspective based upon the evidence they present, not their race, religion or political ideology; etc.
- [2.6] If I am not convinced by the quality of their evidence on any particular issue; I am willing to agree to disagree on that particular issue, and cooperate if they are willing to engage in cooperative truthseeking to get

¹ <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/eop-rh-cult-info/ego-eco-literacy/> archive.fo/q4sMD

² <http://grammar.ccc.commnet.edu/grammar/composition/abstract.htm> archive.is/OJyMM

³ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2009/10/01-oct-jfriedlander/> archive.is/V8X7w

- better quality evidence; so that a stronger beyond reasonable doubt conclusion can be drawn on the particular issue in dispute.
- [2.7] I remain in the conversation until we find agreement; so as to support each other on other issues that we do agree upon, which are based upon more conclusive buck stops here evidence.
- [2.8] If or where sincere conflict arises in the discussion about the issue in dispute; I am committed to remaining in the conversation and finding a way to resolve the conflict, by allowing myself, them or both of us to get over our anger; as opposed to requiring them to suppress their anger for political correct 'lets pretend we are getting along' reasons.
- [2.9] Once the emotional steam has been released through the conflict resolution process; both individuals will find themselves in a more calm neutral space where the truthseeking conversation can proceed. If either of us decide that we are unable to resolve the conflict between us:
- [A] If none of us are employed by the State and/or not legally obligated to help each other on the particular issue of preference in dispute; we honourably terminate discussions and approach others for help to get what we respectively want.
- [B] If one or both of us are employed by the State and/or an organization or profession legally obligated to provide support to enable resolution of the particular requested preference; we cooperatively refer the matter to an honourable discourse truthseeking arbitrator for impartial dispute resolution.
- [2.10] If at any point during discussion; or arbitration proceedings; their evidence proves any of my evidence for any of my ideological, racial or religious working hypothesis theories or beliefs to be inaccurate; I love reason and logic more than my ego-identity and hence I am willing to publicly change my mind, on that particular subject and amend my ideological working hypothesis or belief with the new evidence provided; and if necessary to apologize.